


(Read free) [Citation Needed]: The Best of Wikipedia's Worst Writing

[Citation Needed]: The Best of Wikipedia's Worst Writing

Conor Lastowka, Josh Fruhlinger
ebooks | Download PDF | *ePub | DOC | audiobook



DOWNLOAD



READ ONLINE

#2205293 in Books 2011-10-15Original language:EnglishPDF # 1 8.00 x .50 x 5.251, .52 #File Name: 1466346981220 pages | File size: 37.Mb

Conor Lastowka, Josh Fruhlinger : [Citation Needed]: The Best of Wikipedia's Worst Writing before purchasing it in order to gage whether or not it would be worth my time, and all praised [Citation Needed]: The Best of Wikipedia's Worst Writing:

1 of 1 people found the following review helpful. Some how this book just didn't tickle my funny boneBy _CustomerI've been waiting, and hoping, to select this book for free and finally purchased the book during its free day at . I'm glad I selected this book for free as I fail to understand what is supposed to be so funny in this book. Most of

the book has a short blurb, followed by a link to Wikipedia where you can finish reading the article, meaning you will have to have access to the Internet to fully enjoy this book. Of the blurbs listed most were not all that humorous. Mind you I have a fine sense of humor as I enjoy Stan Freiberg, Monty Python, Fawlty Towers, Our You Being Served and Keeping Up Appearances. Some how this book just didn't tickle my funny bone. Recommend with caveats given. 1 of 1 people found the following review helpful. The stylistic worst of Wikipedia subjected to the mockery it so richly deserves. By Jeff Walden In the mood for something humorous, light, and snarky, perhaps as a palate cleanser between more serious fare? Look no further than this book. Wikipedia's incredibly useful as a way to learn about pretty much any topic you'd care to investigate. Yet because it's user-edited, it's easy to find horrible writing, obsessive attention to irrelevant details, and aspirations to authoritative style that fall flat. (Which isn't to say that user editing is bad on the whole, just that it has its demerits.) The authors link to and excerpt a couple hundred instances of Wikipedia editorial failure, offering brief comment on each. (Although, not to a particular revision containing the quoted text. Even still, I was surprised by how many of the handful of linked articles I viewed still contained the quoted text, and had not been cleaned up by subsequent user edits.) The style of humor is classic Internet snark (not surprising as the book is a spinoff of the [Citation Needed] blog). If that appeals to you, you'll probably enjoy this for the hour or two it takes to read the entire thing. If it doesn't, get your daily dose of humor somewhere else. 9 of 9 people found the following review helpful. Wonderful. By Idea Tumblr For some whatever reason that I don't quite understand, and being a person who doesn't generally more than chuckle in reaction to things, I have laughed loudly and heartily at almost every page of this. Most of the Wikipedia articles excerpted here are just so precious in their depictions of nerdy passion over their respective subject matter, and as a reader you feel that there's so much subtext to each one of them, that it's just such a damn pleasure to get to the one or two sentence jokey accompanying comment that follows. A good coffee table book, if anything.

Do you need help telling the difference between a Renaissance Pope and an oracular octopus? Do you need details on what inappropriate body parts the attendees at Wing Bowl expose to one another? Do you need an accounting of which movies in the Air Bud series contain the fewest fart jokes? Fortunately, all these facts are lovingly recorded on Wikipedia, thus fulfilling the dreams of generations of scholars who worked towards a compendium of the world's most vital information. But how can you find these details, hidden as they are among boring facts about science and history and stuff? Fear not: For nearly two years, the blog [Citation Needed] has sifted through The Best of Wikipedia's Worst Writing. A thousand entries later, Conor Lastowka and Josh Fruhlinger have handpicked over 200 of their favorite examples of putrid prose and collected them here. Each entry features hilarious commentary from the authors, but they're confident you'll already be laughing by the time you get to it. Praise for [Citation Needed] "Wikipedia has long been my favored source of dubious scholarship, unverified assertions, press-release hagiography, and confusing recaps of comic books in long run-on sentences. It is not merely that this material is USEFUL to a writer of fake trivia. There is also a strange pleasure that comes in witnessing very bad writing and wondering at the human mind that conceives it, and why that mind is so preoccupied with the drama behind the scenes of House Party 4. I am just glad that I now have the best of the worst, all hilariously annotated by Fruhlinger and Lastowka, and all bound in one non-internet volume such that I can enjoy these bits of grim, awkward human poetry without feeling tempted to get into a huge online fight over the weight of Mr. Belvedere." -John Hodgman "You will not be surprised to discover many of Wikipedia's worst writing comes from entries with nerdy subjects, include Care Bears (and their suspect genders), Adult Diaper fetishes, bizarre assumptions about He-Man characters, and more. I'm convinced Topless Robot readers will love the hell out of it... Fruhlinger and Lastowka are not nearly as mean-spirited as I am and about five million times funnier. The book is available here at Amazon for \$11. If you have a Kindle, the ebook is only \$1, which is the most absurd entertainment value I've seen in quite some time." -Rob Bricken, ToplessRobot.com

About the Author Josh Fruhlinger is the creator of the Comics Curmudgeon, a surprisingly successful blog that makes fun of Mary Worth and Apartment 3-G and proves that pretty much anyone can become mildly famous on the Internet for any reason whatsoever, as long as they update every day. He's also written for the satirical political blog Wonkette, recorded a RiffTrax, and finished in third place on his only Jeopardy! appearance (the correct answer was Golda Meir). He lives in Baltimore with his wife Amber and his cat Hoagie. Conor Lastowka has written for RiffTrax.com for the majority of its existence. He founded the fake holiday National High Five Day, plays bass in his fake band Re-Ree and hosts the all too real [Citation Needed] Podcast. He lives in San Diego with his wife Lauren and his cat Slidell.